

Hirshman's proof of Atiyah-Singer index theorem.

We want to prove, for D odd order symmetric elliptic PDO $S \rightarrow M$, hermitian bundle over a compact manifold:

$$\text{Ind}(D) = (-1)^{\dim M} \int_{T^*M} \text{Todd}(T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cdot \text{ch}([\sigma_D])$$

The idea is to insert a new quantity between the two: the topological index $\alpha_M([\sigma_D])$. We will

1) define the topological index $\alpha_M: K(T^*M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

2) prove that $\alpha_M([\sigma_D]) = \text{Ind}_a(D)$

3) prove the cohomological formula for α_M

The topological index

$$\alpha_M: K(T^*M) \rightarrow K(K(\mathbb{R}^n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

will be induced by an asymptotic morphism

$$\alpha_t: C_0(T^*M) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is a family of maps from $C_0(T^*M)$ to $K(\mathbb{R}^n)$, indexed by $t \in [1, \infty[$, and such that

1) $t \mapsto \alpha_t(a)$ is continuous & bounded

2) $\alpha_t(ab) \sim \alpha_t(a)\alpha_t(b)$ (ie $\|\alpha_t(ab) - \alpha_t(a)\alpha_t(b)\| \rightarrow 0$)

$$\alpha_t(a^*) \sim \alpha_t(a)^*, \quad \alpha_t(\lambda a + b) \sim \lambda \alpha_t(a) + \alpha_t(b)$$

We start with $M = U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (non-compact).

From $a \in C_c^\infty(T^*M) = C_c^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ we define a

family of kernels

$$k_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x, \xi) e^{i(x-y)\xi} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{t^{-n}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x, \xi) e^{it(x-y)\xi} d\xi$$

and the associated operators on $L^2(U)$:

$$(A_t(a)u)(x) = \int k_t(x, y) u(y) dy = \int a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi$$

Lemma. Let A_t be a family of operators on $L^2(U)$ associated to L^2 -kernels k_t st

$$\forall t, x, y \quad |k_t(x, y)| \leq C \frac{t^m}{(1+t|y-x|)^{m+1}}$$

Then the ops A_t are

$$\text{uniformly bounded} \quad \forall t \quad \|A_t\| \leq K_m \cdot C.$$

Moreover putting $k_{t,L} = k_t \cdot \chi_{|x-y| \leq t^L}$ we have $\|A_{t,L} - A_t\| \xrightarrow{L} 0$ uniformly in t .

Lemma. Let $f_t \in C^\infty(U, U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be uniformly compactly supported, uniformly bounded as well as the partial derivatives up to order N , with respect to ζ :

$$\forall t \quad \text{Supp } f_t \subset U \times U \times B(0, R)$$

$$\forall t, |a| \leq N \quad \text{Sup} \left| \frac{\partial^{|a|} f_t}{\partial \zeta^a} \right| \leq C$$

Put

$$k_t(x, y) = \int f_t(x, y, \zeta) e^{i(x-y)\zeta} d\zeta.$$

Then

$$|k_t(x, y)| \leq \frac{t^m}{(1+t|y-x|)^N} K_{m,N} C \text{ Vol } B(0, R)$$

Applications. $a, b \in C_c^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^m)$.

1) The $A_t(a)$ are uniformly bounded: take $f_t(x, y, \zeta) = a(x, \zeta)$. In fact the same ideas apply to show that they are bdd from L^2 to H^1 , and in particular, compact.

2) $A_t(a)^* - A_t(a^*) \rightarrow 0$: apply the lemmas to

$$f_{t,L}(x, y, \zeta) = t(a(x, \zeta) - a(y, \zeta)) \chi_{|x-y| \leq t^L}$$

Thanks to the Mean Value Theorem, to obtain

$$\|t(A_{t,L}(a)^* - A_{t,L}(a^*))\| \leq C,$$

and let $t, L \rightarrow \infty$.

3) The kernel of $A_t(a)A_t(b)$ is associated to

$$f_t(x, y, \zeta) = \iint a(x, \zeta + \eta) b(x + z, \zeta) e^{-i\eta z} d\eta dz$$

According to the Stationary Phase Theorem, when $t \rightarrow \infty$ the only important part of the integral is the one where $d\eta_3 = 0$, i.e. $\eta = \zeta = 0$. More precisely one has

$$\pm |f_t(x, y, \zeta) - a(x, \zeta) b(x, \zeta)| \leq C$$

and similarly for the partial derivatives w.r.t ζ .

Hence the lemmas show that $\|A_t(a)A_t(b) - A_t(ab)\| = O(t^{-1})$.

(c) $M_t A_t(a) - A_t(a) M_t \rightarrow 0$, where $M_t \varphi$ is the phase multiplication by $\varphi \in C^\infty(U)$, applying the lemmas with $f_t(x, y, \zeta) = (f(x) - f(y)) a(x, \zeta) \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{lag}}(t^{-1/2})$ one obtains $\|t(M_t A_t - A_t M_t)\| \leq C \dots$

To apply this to the general case of a smooth manifold M , we have to check covariance under the action of a diffeomorphism $\Phi: U \rightarrow V$. Note that Φ induces a map $\tilde{\Phi}: T^*U \rightarrow T^*V$ as well as a unitary $U_\Phi: L^2(U) \rightarrow L^2(V)$

Prop For $a \in C_c^\infty(T^*V)$, $A_t(a \circ \tilde{\Phi}) \simeq_t U_\Phi^* A_t(a) U_\Phi$. (*)

We proceed then in the following way, fixing a partition of unity $\{\varphi\}$ subordinate to a locally finite atlas of M .

For $a \in C_c^\infty(T^*M)$ we define $A_t(\pi^* \varphi \cdot a)$ by (*) and

we put $\tilde{A}_t(a) = \sum A_t(\pi^* \varphi \cdot a)$. This does not depend

on $\{\varphi\}$ in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ according to the proposition.

Moreover we have $\tilde{A}_t(\pi^* \varphi \cdot a) = M_t \tilde{A}_t(a)$ for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, since this is evidently true in the charts.

This defines a family of maps $\tilde{A}_t: C_c^\infty(T^*M) \rightarrow K(L^2(M))$

which has the properties 1) 2) of asymptotic morphisms (continuity in t is immediate, linearity is satisfied

for each t). To extend it to $C_0(T^*M)$ we proceed

in the following way:

The asymptotic properties we have established show that (α_ℓ) defines a morphism of \mathbb{A} -algebras

$$\alpha_\ell: C_c^\infty(T^*M) \rightarrow C_b([1, \infty[, \mathbb{K}) / C_0([1, \infty[, \mathbb{K})$$

This extends to the enveloping C^* alg of $C_c^\infty(T^*M)$ which identifies with $C_0(T^*M)$. Composing with a section $\sigma: C_b([1, \infty[, \mathbb{K}) / C_0([1, \infty[, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow C_b([1, \infty[, \mathbb{K})$ we get a new family of maps

$$\alpha_\ell: C_0(T^*M) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{L}^2 M),$$

which forms by definition an asymptotic morphism, which does not depend on σ up to equivalence.

By definition we have the following link with the "concrete maps" A_ℓ :

$$\forall a \in C_c^\infty(T^*M) \quad \alpha_\ell(a) \sim \sum A_\ell(\pi^* \varphi_i a)$$

where $\{\varphi_i\}$ is a partition of unity subordinate to some atlas, and the A_ℓ are computed in the associated charts.

Application to the index problem.

Let D be a 1st order elliptic LPDO, symmetric & odd on $S \rightarrow M$. The (principal) symbol of D is an element $\sigma_0 \in \Gamma^{1,0}(T^*M, \pi^* \text{End } S)$. We want to apply the preceding construction to $f(\sigma_0)$ (fibrewise functional calculus: in the case when $S = M \times \{0\}$ this is just $f(\sigma_0)$) which is in $\Gamma_0^1(T^*M, \pi^* \text{End } S)$ if $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, thanks to the ellipticity.

let us get back to the O -dir bundle on $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the case of a constant coeff operator

$$D = \sum_{|k| \leq 1} a_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \text{Then } \sigma_0(x, \xi) = \sum_{|k| \leq 1} a_k \xi^k.$$

For $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ $f(\sigma_0)$ is compactly supported (at least with resp to ξ) and smooth, one can apply A_t to it:

$$\begin{aligned} (A_t(f(\sigma_0))u)(x) &= \int f(E^{-1} \sum_{|k| \leq 1} a_k \xi^k) e^{i x \cdot \xi} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ \Rightarrow \underline{A_t(f(\sigma_0))} &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} f(M_{E^{-1} \sum a_k \xi^k}) \mathcal{F} = f(\mathcal{F}^{-1} M_{E^{-1} \sum a_k \xi^k} \mathcal{F}) \\ &= f(E^{-1} \sum a_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}) = \underline{f(E^{-1} D)} \sim f(E^{-1} D) \end{aligned}$$

Thm A D op on $S \rightarrow \Pi$, $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Then $(M \text{ compact})$

$$(id \otimes \alpha_t)(f(\sigma_0)) \sim f(E^{-1} D)$$

(One can consider S as a subbundle of some $\mathbb{C}^N \times M$, this induces

$$\Gamma_0(T^*M, \pi^* \text{End} S) \hookrightarrow M_N \otimes C_0(T^*M),$$

$$\Gamma^2(M, S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \times L^2 M,$$

$$K(\Gamma^2(M, S)) \hookrightarrow M_N \otimes K(L^2 M).$$

The K -theoretic translation of this result yields almost immediately the "left equality" of the index theorem.

To understand this one needs the following facts about K -theory:

- 1) Let G be an odd, sa regular op on a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hilbert A -module st $\mathbf{1} + (G)^{-1} \in K_A(E)$.

The functional calculus yields an odd $*$ -hom $\Phi_G: C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow K_A(E)$. Conversely any such $*$ -hom

arises from such a G_Φ on E . We will denote by $[\mathbf{1} + G] = [\Phi]$ the associated elt of $K(A)$ in the Baum-Sulzpicture, it is given by the Kasparov

cycle $(E, G(1+G^2)^{-1/2})$.

2) Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -hom and $[\Phi] \in K_A$.

One can assume that $E = H \otimes A$ so that

$$K_A(E) = K(H) \otimes A. \text{ Then } \varphi_*([\Phi]) = [(\text{id} \otimes \varphi) \circ \Phi]$$

3) An asymptotic morphism $\alpha_f: A \rightarrow B$ induces a morphism $\alpha: K(A) \rightarrow K(B)$ in the following way. By def α_f induces a $*$ -hom

$$\tilde{\alpha}: A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B) = C_b([1, \infty[, B) / C_0([1, \infty[, B).$$

Since $C_0([1, \infty[, B)$ is contractible we have

$$K(\mathcal{Q}(B)) \cong K(C_b([1, \infty[, B)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1} K(B).$$

$$\text{One puts } \alpha = \text{ev}_1 \circ \tilde{\alpha}_*.$$

Now consider $\sigma_0 \in M_N \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the topological index α . By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_*([\sigma_0]) &= [f \mapsto (\text{id} \circ \tilde{\alpha})(f(\sigma_0))] \\ &= [f \mapsto g(t \mapsto (\text{id} \circ \alpha_t)(f(\sigma_0))] \end{aligned}$$

The theorem gives a lift in $K(C_b([1, \infty[, B))$:

$$[f \mapsto (t \mapsto f(t^{-1} \cdot 0))]$$

Now it is clear that $\alpha([\sigma_0]) = [f \mapsto f(0)] = [0]$. In

the canonical identification $K(M_N \otimes K(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ this is the analytical index of D .

The cohomological formula for the topological index.

It will result from "functorial" results concerning

$\{K(M)\}_M$. For instance, consider an open inclusion

$i: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ of manifolds. It doesn't induce a hom

from $C_0(M_2)$ to $C_0(M_1)$ because it is not proper,

but it induces $i_*: C_0(M_1) \rightarrow C_0(M_2)$: extension

by 0. This is an example of wrong-way functoriality.

This also applies to $i: T^*M_1 \rightarrow T^*M_2$, and it is

completely evident that $\alpha_{M_2} \circ \hat{c}_1 = \alpha_{M_1}$.

Another, less trivial, wrong-way functoriality result we will need concerns the Thom isomorphism:

If $S \rightarrow M$ is a hermitian bundle over a cpt mfd, one defines $b_S \in \Gamma(S, \pi^* \text{End } \Lambda^* S)$ by

$$b_S|_w(w) = w \wedge S + w \lrcorner S.$$

If S is elliptic, hence it defines an elt $[b_S] \in K(V)$.

Now the proj $\pi: V \rightarrow M$ doesn't induce a hom from $C(M)$ to $C(S)$, but a $C(M)$ -module structure $C(M) \otimes C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$. This yields

$$\phi_S: K(M) \rightarrow K(S), \quad x \mapsto x \cdot [b_S].$$

When M is non-compact, b_S does not define a K -theory elt anymore, but ϕ_S can still be defined from the $*$ -hom $C(\mathbb{R}) \otimes C(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_0(S, \pi^* \text{End } \Lambda^* S)$, $\mathcal{F}(f \otimes h)(w) = f(b(w)) h(\pi(w))$.

If $V \rightarrow M$ is a euclidean vector bundle, then there exists a (non-canonical) diffeomorphism

$$\Psi: T^*V \cong \pi^*(V \oplus V) \quad (\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M)$$

which corresponds to the choice of horizontal & vertical subbundles (this is only canonical in a chart). T^*V is then endowed with the structure of a hermitian vector bundle over T^*M : write $V \oplus V \cong V \oplus iV = V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Thus B We have $\alpha_V \circ \phi_{T^*V} = \alpha_M$.

We will explain how to prove this when $M = \{pt\}$: this is the fibrewise point of view and the general proof relies on it (but one has to deal with the fact that Ψ is non-canonical). Since $\alpha_{pt} = id: K(pt) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, it

amounts to the identity $\alpha_V([b_{\pi_V}]) = 1$ for a euclidean vector space V . Here we use the canonical identifications $T^*V \cong V \oplus V \cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$b_{(x,\xi)}(s) = \kappa \langle s, s \rangle + \alpha \langle s, s \rangle + i\beta \langle s, s \rangle + i\beta \langle s, s \rangle$$

for $s \in \wedge^* V \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The idea is to consider the diff operator on $L^2(V, \wedge^* V \otimes \mathbb{C})$ associated to the (total) symbol b :

$$\begin{aligned} D u(x) &= \int b(x, \xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \quad (u \in C_c(V, \wedge^* V \otimes \mathbb{C})) \\ &= \alpha \wedge u(x) + \alpha \lrcorner u(x) + du(x) + d^* u(x), \end{aligned}$$

and to use Thm A to compute $\alpha([b])$ via the index of D .

However we have seen that in the limit $f(E^{-1}D)$ forgets about the 0-order part of D : $f(E^{-1}D) \sim \alpha_b(f(b_0))$ where $b_0 = i\xi \lrcorner + i\xi \wedge$ is the (principal) symbol of D .

The solution to recover the whole of b is to look at $f(D_0 + E^{-1}D_1)$ instead — the terms D_i of order i are well-defined because we are working in the canonical chart $V \rightarrow V$. As we have seen during the proof, the method of Thm A carries over:

Prop For any $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, $f(D_0 + E^{-1}D_1) \sim \alpha_b(f(b))$. As a result, $\alpha([b]) = [D] = \text{Ind}(D)$.

Now it remains to compute the index of D . Standard diff-geometric calculations show that

$$\text{Lemma } D^2 = -\Delta + \|x\|^2 + (2k - n) \text{ on } L^2(V, \wedge^k V \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

In particular degrees & variables separate and the study of D^2 boils down to the one of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - x^2 + 1$, which is well known.

Prop D is self-adjoint, elliptic and $\ker D = \mathbb{C} e^{-\|x\|^2/2}$.

In particular $\text{Ind } D = 1$.

Comparison of Thom isomorphisms.

Recall that, for $V \rightarrow M$ a complex hermitian vector bundle, $K(V)$ & $H^*(V)$ are freely generated as $K(M)$ & $H^*(M)$ -modules, by the Thom elt $[h_V]$ & the Thom class u_V .

Consider the associated Thom isomorphisms

$$\Phi_V: K(M) \rightarrow K(V), \quad \pi \mapsto \pi \cdot [h_V]$$

$$\Psi_V: H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(V), \quad \pi \mapsto \pi \cdot u_V$$

Let $z(V) \in H^*(M)$ be the elt of $dh_V([h_V]) = z(V) \cdot u_V$: we have for all $\pi \in K(M)$

$$dh_V(\Phi_V(\pi)) = z(V) \cdot \Psi_V(dh(\pi)).$$

By functoriality, z is a characteristic class, moreover z is multiplicative according to:

Lemma $\Phi_{\pi_1^* V_2} \circ \Phi_{V_1} = \Phi_{\pi_1 \otimes V_2}, \quad \Psi_{\pi_1^* V_2} \circ \Psi_{V_1} = \Psi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}$.

As a result it is determined by a power series.

Prop z is associated to $(1 - e^x)/x$.

Def let Todd be the genus associated to $x/(1 - e^{-x})$

From the general theory we easily see that

- $z(V) = (-1)^k, \text{ Todd}(V) = 1$ for V , k -dim \mathbb{C} trivial bundle over M compact.

- $z(V) \text{ Todd}(V) = (-1)^k$ for V k -dim \mathbb{C}

- $\text{Todd}(V) \text{ Todd}(W) = 1$ if $V \oplus W$ trivial

Thus let $\alpha_M: K(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a family of morphisms st

i) for $M_1 \xrightarrow{i} M_2$ open we have

$$K(T^*M_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\downarrow i^! \quad \cong \quad \parallel$$

$$K(T^*M_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$$

ii) for $V \rightarrow M$ a real vector bundle we have

∴

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(T^*M) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{Z} \\
 \downarrow \phi_{TV} & \cong & \parallel \\
 K(T^*V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{iii) } \alpha_{pt} = \text{id} : K(pt) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

Then we have, for any M and $x \in K(T^*M) =$

$$\alpha(x) = (-1)^{\dim M} \int_{T^*M} \text{Todd}(TM \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cdot dx(x)$$