

Furstenberg boundary for discrete quantum groups

Roland Vergnioux

joint work with M. Kalantar, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski

University of Normandy (France)

Seoul, April 7, 2021

Outline

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Discrete quantum groups
- Actions
- Orthogonal free quantum groups

2 Boundary actions

- Γ -boundaries
- Boundaries and unique stationarity
- The Gromov boundary of $\mathbb{F}O_Q$
- An $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ -boundary

3 Applications

- Uniqueness of trace
- Universal boundary and the amenable radical

Motivation

- notion of Γ -**boundary** in topological dynamics (Furstenberg, 1950s)
- surprising connection with the structure of **reduced group C^* -algebra** (Kalantar-Kennedy, Breuillard-Kalantar-Kennedy-Ozawa, 2010s)

Γ discrete group → translation operators $\lambda(g) \in B(\ell^2\Gamma)$

- reduced C^* -algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) = \overline{\text{Span}} \{ \lambda(g), g \in \Gamma \}$
- with trace $h(x) = (\mathbb{1}_e | x \mathbb{1}_e)$, $h(\lambda(g)) = \delta_{g,e}$

Trace : $\varphi : C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, positive, unital, $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$.

Theorem (BKKO)

$C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ *simple* $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ *free Γ -boundary* $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$.

$C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ *has a unique trace* $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ *faithful Γ -boundary* $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$.

In particular simplicity \Rightarrow uniqueness of trace for reduced C^* -algebras of discrete groups. The converse is false.

Discrete quantum groups

A discrete quantum group Γ is given by :

- a von Neumann algebra $\ell^\infty(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} B(H_\alpha)$ with $\dim H_\alpha < \infty$
- a normal $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta : \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \bar{\otimes} \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ such that $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ (coproduct)
- left and right Δ -invariant nsf weights h_L, h_R on $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

Γ is *unimodular* if $h_L = h_R$. Denote $\ell^2(\Gamma) = L^2(\ell^\infty(\Gamma), h_L)$.

Classical case : $\Gamma = \mathbf{\Gamma} = I$, $\ell^\infty(\Gamma) = \ell^\infty(\mathbf{\Gamma})$, $\Delta(f) = ((r, s) \mapsto f(rs))$,
 $h_L(f) = h_R(f) = \sum_{r \in \Gamma} f(r)$.

In general : coproduct \rightarrow tensor product $\pi \otimes \rho := (\pi \otimes \rho)\Delta$ for representations π, ρ of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow$ tensor C^* -category $\text{Corep}(\Gamma)$.

I : irreducible objects up to equivalence.

The multiplication table of Γ is replaced by the spaces $\text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta \otimes \gamma)$.

Actions

Canonical dense subalgebra : $c_0(\Gamma) \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ given by $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} c_0$.

A Γ - C^* -algebra is a C^* -algebra A equipped with a $*$ -homomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow M(c_0(\Gamma) \otimes A)$ such that $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\alpha = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\alpha$ (coaction).

For $a \in A$, $\nu \in A^*$, $\mu \in c_0(\Gamma)^*$ we can then define

$$L_\mu(a) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})\alpha(a) \in M(A),$$

$$P_\nu(a) = (\text{id} \otimes \nu)\alpha(a) \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma),$$

$$\mu * \nu = (\mu \otimes \nu)\alpha \in A^*.$$

A Γ -map $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a linear map such that $T \circ L_\mu = L_\mu \circ T$.

Classical case : $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, $A = C_0(X)$, $\alpha(f) = ((r, x) \mapsto f(r \cdot x))$.

Example : $A = c_0(\Gamma)$, $\alpha = \Delta$ “translation action”.

By invariance, the maps L_μ extend to bounded operators on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$.

→ C^* -algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) = \overline{\text{Span}} \{L_\mu\}$ with state $h = (\xi_0 \mid \cdot \xi_0)$.

Note : h is a trace $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma$ unimodular.

Actions

Canonical dense subalgebra : $c_0(\Gamma) \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ given by $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I}^{\infty} c_0$.

A Γ - C^* -algebra is a C^* -algebra A equipped with a $*$ -homomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow M(c_0(\Gamma) \otimes A)$ such that $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\alpha = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\alpha$ (coaction).

For $a \in A$, $\nu \in A^*$, $\mu \in c_0(\Gamma)^*$ we can then define

$$L_\mu(a) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})\alpha(a) \in M(A),$$

$$P_\nu(a) = (\text{id} \otimes \nu)\alpha(a) \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma),$$

$$\mu * \nu = (\mu \otimes \nu)\alpha \in A^*.$$

A Γ -map $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a linear map such that $T \circ L_\mu = L_\mu \circ T$.

Definition

The cokernel $N_\alpha \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ of α is the weak closure of $\{P_\nu(a), a \in A, \nu \in A^*\}$. We say that α is faithful if $N_\alpha = \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$.

We have $\Delta(N_\alpha) \subset N_\alpha \bar{\otimes} N_\alpha$. In the classical case this implies $N_\alpha = \ell^\infty(\Gamma)^\wedge$ with $\wedge \triangleleft \Gamma$, and we have $\wedge = \text{Ker } \alpha$ in this case. In the quantum case N_α is not necessarily associated to a subgroup $\wedge < \Gamma \dots$

Orthogonal free quantum groups

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q \in GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ s.t. $Q\bar{Q} = \pm I_N$.

The discrete quantum group $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}O(Q)$ can be described as follows:

- $\text{Corep}(\mathbb{F}O(Q))$ is the Temperley-Lieb category with $\delta = \text{Tr}(Q^*Q)$,
- $I = \mathbb{N}$ with $k \otimes 1 \simeq \mathbf{1} \otimes k \simeq (k-1) \oplus (k+1)$, $\bar{k} = k$,
- $H_0 = \mathbb{C}$, $H_1 = \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\text{Hom}(0, 1 \otimes 1) = \mathbb{C}t_1$ with $t_1 = \sum e_i \otimes Qe_i$.

We can then construct H_k by induction, $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{F}O_Q)$ and compute Δ .

Assume $Q = I_N$ — we write $\mathbb{F}O_Q = \mathbb{F}O_N$.

$\omega_{ij} = (e_i | \cdot e_j) \in B(H_1)^* \subset c_0(\Gamma)^* \rightarrow$ operators $L_{ij} := L_{\omega_{ij}} \in C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}O_N)$

→ matrix $L = (L_{ij})_{ij} \in M_N(C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}O_N))$ s.t. $L_{jj}^* = L_{jj}$ and $LL^* = L^*L = I_N$

→ representation of Wang's algebra:

$$A_o(N) = C^*\langle 1, u_{ij} \mid u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}, uu^* = u^*u = I_n \rangle$$

In fact $A_o(N)$ is the full C^* -algebra of $\mathbb{F}O_N$...

The terminology comes from the following “classical” quotients of $A_o(N)$:

$$A_o(N)/(u_{ij}, i \neq j) \simeq C^*(F_N), \quad A_o(N)/([u_{ij}, u_{kl}]) \simeq C(O_N).$$

Outline

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Discrete quantum groups
- Actions
- Orthogonal free quantum groups

2 Boundary actions

- Γ -boundaries
- Boundaries and unique stationarity
- The Gromov boundary of $\mathbb{F}O_Q$
- An $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ -boundary

3 Applications

- Uniqueness of trace
- Universal boundary and the amenable radical

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is:

- **minimal** if $\forall x, y \in X \exists g_n \in \Gamma$ s.t. $\lim g_n \cdot x = y$,
in other words: $\forall x \in X \overline{\Gamma \cdot x} = X$;
- **proximal** if $\forall x, y \in X \exists g_n \in \Gamma$ s.t. $\lim g_n \cdot x = \lim g_n \cdot y$;
- **strongly proximal** if $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \cap X \neq \emptyset$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \overline{X \subset \Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

Classical examples:

- G connected simple Lie group, $H < G$ maximal amenable, $X = G/H$
- Γ non elementary hyperbolic, $X = \partial_G \Gamma$ Gromov boundary

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\text{Conv } \Gamma \cdot \nu}$

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)} |\langle \mu * \nu, f \rangle|$

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)} |\langle \mu, P_\nu(f) \rangle|$

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)} |\langle \mu, P_\nu(f) \rangle|$
- iv) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is isometric on $C(X)_{sa}$

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)} |\langle \mu, P_\nu(f) \rangle|$
- iv) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is isometric on $C(X)_{sa}$
- v) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is a complete isometry

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)} |\langle \mu, P_\nu(f) \rangle|$
- iv) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is isometric on $C(X)_{sa}$
- v) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is a complete isometry
- vi) all UCP Γ -maps $T : C(X) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ are complete isometries
(indeed $T = P_\nu$ for $\nu = \epsilon \circ T$)

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,
or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)} |\langle \mu, P_\nu(f) \rangle|$
- iv) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is isometric on $C(X)_{sa}$
- v) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is a complete isometry
- vi) all UCP Γ -maps $T : C(X) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ are complete isometries
- vii) all UCP Γ -maps $T : C(X) \rightarrow B$ are complete isometries

Γ -boundaries

Classical case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ compact.

We have $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$ via Dirac measures and $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$.

X is a Γ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal, or equivalently: $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$
- ii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)} |\langle \mu, P_\nu(f) \rangle|$
- iv) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is isometric on $C(X)_{sa}$
- v) $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$ is a complete isometry
- vi) all UCP Γ -maps $T : C(X) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ are complete isometries
- vii) all UCP Γ -maps $T : C(X) \rightarrow B$ are complete isometries

Quantum case: $\Gamma \curvearrowright A$ unital, $\text{Prob}(X)/\text{Prob}(\Gamma) \rightarrow S(A)/S(c_0(\Gamma))$

i) no meaning ; ii)–iv) still equiv. ; only i) (highlighted) ; v)–vii) still equiv.

Boundaries and unique stationarity

Definition

A unital Γ - C^* -algebra A is a Γ -boundary if every UCP Γ -map $T : A \rightarrow B$ is automatically UCI.

This has good categorical properties : $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow A$ is an “essential extension” in the category of unital Γ - C^* -algebras with UCP Γ -maps as morphisms and UCI Γ -maps as embeddings.

Choose $\mu \in S(c_0(\Gamma))$. A state $\nu \in S(A)$ is μ -stationary if $\mu * \nu = \nu$.

Proposition (Kalantar)

Assume that A admits a unique μ -stationary state ν and that P_ν is completely isometric. Then A is a Γ -boundary.

Boundaries and unique stationarity

Choose $\mu \in S(c_0(\Gamma))$. A state $\nu \in S(A)$ is μ -stationary if $\mu * \nu = \nu$.

Proposition (Kalantar)

Assume that A admits a unique μ -stationary state ν and that P_ν is completely isometric. Then A is a Γ -boundary.

Proof. ν is stationary **iff** $P_\nu(A) \subset H_\mu^\infty(\Gamma) := \{f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \mid L_\mu(f) = f\}$. Then P_ν is the unique UCP Γ -map $A \rightarrow H_\mu^\infty(\Gamma)$. Moreover we know that $H_\mu^\infty(\Gamma)$ is Γ -injective. Thus it suffices to apply:

Exercice. Let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ be an embedding, Z an injective object. Assume that there exists a unique morphism $Y \rightarrow Z$, which is moreover an embedding. Then $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is essential.

The Gromov boundary of $\mathbb{F}O_Q$

Classical case: free group $\Gamma = \Gamma = F_N$.

Word length: $|g|$, spheres: $S_n = \{g \in F_N; |g| = n\}$.

Gromov boundary $\partial_G F_N$: set of infinite reduced words.

The topology of the compactification $\beta_G F_N = F_N \sqcup \partial_G F_N$ can be described by specifying the unital sub- C^* -algebra $C(\beta_G F_N) \subset \ell^\infty(F_N)$:

$$C(\beta_G F_N) = \overline{\bigcup_m C(\beta_G F_N)_m}$$
 where

$$\begin{aligned} C(\beta_G F_N)_m &= \{f \in \ell^\infty(F_N) \mid f \text{ depends only on first } m \text{ letters}\} \\ &= \{(f_k)_k \in \bigoplus_k^{\ell^\infty} C(S_k) \mid \forall k \geq m \ f_{k+1} = f_k \circ \rho_k\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_k : S_{k+1} \rightarrow S_k$ “forgets last letter”.

The Gromov boundary of $\mathbb{F}O_Q$

The topology of the compactification $\beta_G F_N = F_N \sqcup \partial_G F_N$ can be described by specifying the unital sub- C^* -algebra $C(\beta_G F_N) \subset \ell^\infty(F_N)$:

$$C(\beta_G F_N) = \overline{\bigcup_m C(\beta_G F_N)_m} \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C(\beta_G F_N)_m &= \{f \in \ell^\infty(F_N) \mid f \text{ depends only on first } m \text{ letters}\} \\ &= \{(f_k)_k \in \bigoplus_k^{\ell^\infty} C(S_k) \mid \forall k \geq m \ f_{k+1} = f_k \circ \rho_k\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_k : S_{k+1} \rightarrow S_k$ “forgets last letter”.

Quantum case: $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}O_Q$, $N \geq 3$.

Recall $\ell^\infty(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k>0}^{\ell^\infty} B(H_k)$ and we have canonical isometries

$V_k : H_{k+1} \rightarrow H_k \otimes H_1$ from the Temperley-Lieb category.

Theorem (Vaes-Vergnioux '05)

Put $C(\beta_G \mathbb{F}O_Q)_m = \{(f_k)_k \mid \forall k \geq m \ f_{k+1} = V_k^*(f_k \otimes \text{id})V_k\}$. Then $C(\beta_G \mathbb{F}O_Q) = \overline{\bigcup_m C(\beta_G \mathbb{F}O_Q)_m}$ is a sub- $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ - C^* -algebra of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{F}O_Q)$.

We also denote $C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O_Q) = C(\beta_G \mathbb{F}O_Q)/c_0(\mathbb{F}O_Q)$, which is still a unital $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ - C^* -algebra.

An $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ -boundary

We have “categorical traces” $\text{qtr}_k : B(H_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

They satisfy $\text{qtr}_{k+1}(V_k^*(a \otimes \text{id})V_k) = \text{qtr}_k(a)$

→ we get a state $\omega = \varinjlim \text{qtr}_k$ on $C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O_Q)$.

One checks that ω is μ -stationary for $\mu = \text{qtr}_1 \in B(H_1)^* \subset c_0(\mathbb{F}O_Q)^*$.

Denote $C_r(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O_Q)$ the image of the GNS representation of ω .

Theorem (Vaes-Vergnioux '05)

Assume $N \geq 3$. Then P_ω extends to a normal $*$ -isomorphism

$$P_\omega : C_r(\partial \mathbb{F}O_Q)'' \rightarrow H_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{F}O_Q).$$

Theorem (KKS'V '20)

For $N \geq 3$, ω is the unique μ -stationary state on $C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O_Q)$.

Hence $C_r(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O_Q)$ is an $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ -boundary.

proof

For $N = 2$, $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ is amenable, the only $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ -boundary is \mathbb{C} .

Outline

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Discrete quantum groups
- Actions
- Orthogonal free quantum groups

2 Boundary actions

- Γ -boundaries
- Boundaries and unique stationarity
- The Gromov boundary of $\mathbb{F}O_Q$
- An $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ -boundary

3 Applications

- Uniqueness of trace
- Universal boundary and the amenable radical

Uniqueness of trace

Theorem (KKS '20)

Assume that Γ acts faithfully on some Γ -boundary A . Then:

- if Γ is unimodular, h is the unique trace on $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$;
- else $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ does not admit any KMS state wrt the scaling group.

Question: in the unimodular case, does uniqueness of trace imply the existence of a faithful boundary action?

Theorem (KKS '20)

For $N \geq 3$, $\mathbb{F}O_Q$ acts faithfully on $\partial_G \mathbb{F}O_Q$.

Note: in this case, uniqueness of trace was already proved in [VV '05]. In the non-unimodular case, the absence of τ -KMS state is new.

Universal boundary and the amenable radical

Recall that an injective envelope is an injective and essential extension.

Theorem (Hamana, KKS'V '20)

\mathbb{C} admits an injective envelope $C(\partial_F \Gamma) := I_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$, which is unique up to unique isomorphism. We call it the Furstenberg boundary of Γ .

Then **any Γ -boundary embeds** in a unique way in **$C(\partial_F \Gamma)$** .

There exists a faithful Γ -boundary **iff** $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F \Gamma$ is faithful.

In the classical case the kernel of this action is the maximal amenable normal subgroup of Γ (amenable radical).

Universal boundary and the amenable radical

Theorem (Hamana, KKS'V '20)

\mathbb{C} admits an injective envelope $C(\partial_F \Gamma) := I_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$, which is unique up to unique isomorphism. We call it the Furstenberg boundary of Γ .

There exists a faithful Γ -boundary **iff** $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F \Gamma$ is faithful.

In the classical case the kernel of this action is the maximal amenable normal subgroup of Γ (amenable radical).

$M \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ is called a **Baaj-Vaes subalgebra** if $\Delta(M) \subset M \bar{\otimes} M$. It is **relatively amenable** if there exists a UCP Γ -map $T : \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow M$.

Theorem (KKS'V '20)

The cokernel N_F of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F \Gamma$ is the unique minimal relatively amenable Baaj-Vaes subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$.

Hence there exists a faithful Γ -boundary **iff** $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ has no proper relatively amenable Baaj-Vaes subalgebra.

Proof of unique stationarity for F_N

$S_n \subset F_N$: reduced words of length n . μ_n : uniform proba measure on S_n .
Gromov boundary: $\partial_G F_N \simeq S_\infty$. Put $X_g = \{g \cdots \text{reduced}\} \subset S_\infty$.

Proposition

Let ω be a proba measure on S_∞ such that $\mu_1 * \omega = \omega$. Then for any $g \in F_N$ we have $\omega(X_g) = (\#S_{|g|})^{-1}$.

Observe that the assumption implies $\mu^{*k} * \omega = \omega$ and $\mu_k * \omega = \omega$ for all k .
It is sufficient to prove $\overline{\lim}_n (\mu_n * \omega)(X_g) \leq (\#S_{|g|})^{-1}$: indeed both sides sum up to 1 when $|g|$ is fixed.

We have $(\mu_n * \omega)(X_g) = (\#S_n)^{-1} \sum_{|h|=n} \omega(hX_g)$.

Proof of unique stationarity for F_N

It is sufficient to prove $\overline{\lim}_n (\mu_n * \omega)(X_g) \leq (\#S_{|g|})^{-1}$: indeed both sides sum up to 1 when $|g|$ is fixed.

We have $(\mu_n * \omega)(X_g) = (\#S_n)^{-1} \sum_{|h|=n} \omega(hX_g)$.

Case 1: the last letter of g is not simplified in the product hg , i.e. $|hg| = |g| + n - 2l$ with $0 \leq l \leq |g| - 1$. Then $hX_g = X_{hg}$ and when l is fixed these subsets are pairwise disjoint. Hence for fixed l :

$$\sum \{\omega(hX_g); |h| = n, |hg| = |g| + n - 2l\} \leq 1.$$

Case 2: use the trivial estimate $\omega(hX_g) \leq 1$. In this case the last $|g|$ letters of h are fixed, equal to g^{-1} , so we have $(2N - 1)^{n-|g|}$ such elements h .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Altogether } (\mu_n * \omega)(X_g) &\leq (\#S_n)^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{|g|-1} 1 + (\#S_n)^{-1} (2N - 1)^{n-|g|} \\ &= (\#S_n)^{-1} |g| + (\#S_{|g|})^{-1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (\#S_{|g|})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed $\#S_n = 2N(2N - 1)^{n-1}$.

back